January 26, 2005

Ms. April M. Virnig Taylor Olson Adkins Sralla Elam 6000 Western Place, Suite 200 Fort Worth, Texas 76107-4654

OR2005-00762

Dear Ms. Virnig:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 217600.

The City of Azle (the "city"), which you represent, received two requests for information, from the same requestor, related to a named former police officer with the city, including information concerning disciplinary actions against the former officer within the last two years, information concerning his performance on a particular assignment, and copies of two specified audio tapes. You state that the city will release some of the requested information. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.117, 552.119 and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that you did not submit the requested audio tapes. We assume the city has released this information to the requestor. If it has not, it must do so at this time to the extent that such information exists. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302.

Next, you note and we acknowledge, that the submitted information includes a "Texas Peace Officer's Accident Report," that is subject to chapter 550 of the Transportation Code. Section 550.065(b) provides that, except as provided by subsection (c), accident reports are privileged and confidential. See Transp. Code § 550.065(b). Section 550.065(c)(4) provides for the release of accident reports to a person who provides two of the following three pieces of information: (1) date of the accident; (2) name of any person involved in the accident; and (3) specific location of the accident. See Transp. Code § 550.065(c)(4). Under this

provision, the Department of Public Safety or another governmental entity is required to release a copy of an accident report to a person who provides the agency with two or more pieces of information specified by the statute. See id. In this instance, we find that the requestor has not provided the city with at least two of the three pieces of information required under section 550.065(c)(4). Accordingly, we conclude that the city must withhold the information that we have marked pursuant to section 550.065(c)(4) of the Transportation Code.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision" and encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. For information to be protected from public disclosure by the common-law right of privacy under section 552.101, the information must meet the criteria set out in *Industrial Foundation v. Texas Industrial Accident Board*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976). In *Industrial Foundation*, the Texas Supreme Court stated that information is excepted from disclosure if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the release of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Id.* at 685.

You seek to withhold portions of the information, which you have highlighted and labeled "552.101," under this section in conjunction with common-law privacy. In *Morales v. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d 519 (Tex. App.—El Paso 1992, writ denied), the court addressed the applicability of the common-law privacy doctrine to files of an investigation of allegations of sexual harassment in an employment context. The information at issue concerns allegations of a city employee harassing members of the public, not a fellow employee or employees, by making comments of a sexual nature. Because the allegations do not concern sexual harassment in the employment arena, we find that *Ellen* is not applicable in this instance. Therefore, we conclude that you may not withhold any portion of the submitted information pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

We next consider your claim that section 552.108 is applicable to a portion of the submitted information. Section 552.108(a)(2) excepts from disclosure information concerning an investigation that concluded in a result other than conviction or deferred adjudication. A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(2) must demonstrate that the requested information relates to a criminal investigation that has concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. Based on the information you provided, we understand you to assert that the information at issue, which we have marked, pertains to a case that concluded in a result other than conviction or deferred adjudication. Therefore, we agree that section 552.108(a)(2) is applicable.

However, section 552.108 is inapplicable to basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). We believe such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publishing Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Thus, with the exception of the basic front page offense and arrest

information, you may withhold the information that we have marked from disclosure based on section 552.108(a)(2). We note that you have the discretion to release all or part of the remaining information that is not otherwise confidential by law. Gov't Code § 552.007.

We next consider the applicability of section 552.117 to the remaining portions of the submitted information you have labeled "552.117." Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts from public disclosure a peace officer's home address and telephone number, social security number, and family member information regardless of whether the peace officer made an election under section 552.024 of the Government Code. We note, however, that the protections of section 552.117 only apply to information that the governmental body holds in its capacity as an employer. See Gov't Code § 552.117 (providing that employees of governmental entities may protect certain personal information in the hands of their employer); see also Gov't Code § 552.024 (establishing election process for Gov't Code § 552.117). In this instance, the submitted information is not held by the city in its capacity as an employer. Consequently, we find that the none of the information may be withheld under section 552.117.

Finally, we address the applicability of section 552.130 to portions of the remaining information. This section excepts from disclosure information that "relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state [or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state." We have reviewed the submitted records and agree that most of the remaining information you have marked must be withheld under section 552.130. We have marked the information that must be withheld under section 552.130.

In summary, the city must withhold the information that we have marked pursuant to section 550.065(c)(4) of the Transportation Code. With the exception of basic information, which must be released, the city may withhold the information we have marked under section 552.108(a)(2) of the Government Code. The city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. All remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days.

¹As our ruling is dispositive, we need not consider your other claimed exceptions for this information.

Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. Id. § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Assistant Attorney General

Open Records Division

ECG/sdk

Ref: ID# 217600

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Edwin W. Newton
Azel News
321 West Main Street
Azle, Texas 76020
(w/o enclosures)